

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/597,794	Applicant(s) KUMAGAI ET AL.
Examiner MICHAEL OSINSKI		Art Unit 2622	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL OSINSKI.

(2) Amol Kavathekar.

Date of Interview: 13 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: N/A

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
12

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner suggested changing the claim language to correct grammatical and antecedent basis issues found in newly presented claim 12. Applicant's representative agreed to allow the Examiner to make the changes in an Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jason Chan/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622
 /MICHAEL OSINSKI/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2622

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)